Stability of internal response and external load during 4-a-side football game in an indoor environment
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Background: Small-sided games (SSG) are spontaneous forms of specific training where exercise intensity can be manipulated mainly by modifying external factors. When using the SSG, there is a risk that the variability of intensity between players and on repetition of SSG may lead to some players training at inappropriate exercise intensities.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to determine intra-individual repeatability and inter-individual variability in exercise intensity in small-sided 4on4 football games.

Methods: Twenty five highly trained junior football players (age 17.68 ± 1.52 years; BMI 23.8 ± 1.92 kg·m⁻²) participated in the study. Distance covered (software package Video Manual Motion Tracker 1.0) and heart rate (HR, expressed as a percentage of peak heart rate) were measured. All participants completed three measurements of 4-a-side games for three consecutive weeks.

Results: The high intra-class correlation coefficient value (Heart rate [HR]: \( ICC = .88 \), 95% CI [.65, .94]; Distance covered [DC]: \( ICC = .76 \), 95% CI [.66, .96]) implies a very high level of intra-individual repeatability. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient value (HR: \( r = .93 \); DC: \( r = .85 \)) indicates a high correlation between the results of any measurement. Bland and Altman’s 95% limits of agreement were ± 10.32 beats·min⁻¹ and ± 65.46 m of distance covered.

Conclusions: The results of this study demonstrated that measured SSG elicit consistent heart rate responses and external load of the players.
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Introduction

Football is a very popular team sport with nearly 200 million players (Dupont, Blondel, Lensel, & Berthoin, 2002). Football contains positive motivational and social factors that may facilitate compliance and persistence with the sport and contribute to the maintenance of a physically active lifestyle (Krustrup, Dvorak, Junge, & Bangsbo, 2010).

Small-sided games (SSG) are spontaneous forms of specific training where exercise intensity can be manipulated mainly by modifying external factors. Thus, researchers have examined factors that may affect the intensity of SSG such as dimensions of the pitch, number of players, coach encouragement, continuous and intermittent modality, and goalkeeper’s participation (da Silva et al., 2011; Hill-Haas, Dawson, Impellizzeri, & Coutts, 2011). Little and Williams (2006), and Reilly (2005) indicated that high specificity of loading, decision making under the pressure of opponents, and keeping players motivated were the most important advantages of using small-sided games in the football training. These drills lead to more specific physiological adaptations and better transfer of improved technical and tactical skills to the game (Hoff, Wisloff, Engen, Kemi, & Helgerud, 2002; Krustrup et al., 2010; Little & Williams, 2006). According to Krustrup et al. (2010) using small-sided games two or three times a week, operates significant cardiovascular and muscular adaptations, including muscle growth and elevated muscular strength independent on the level of training, lack of experience with football, gender and age.

For the loading description the heart rate monitoring, rate of perceived exertion, and distance covered was used in the studies of small-sided games (Aslan, 2013; Casamichana & Castellano, 2010; Castellano, Casamichana, & Dellal, 2013; Dellal, Hill-Haas, Lago-Penas, & Chamari, 2011; Hill-Haas, Rowsell, Dawson, & Coutts, 2009; Rampini et al., 2007). For distance covered measurement GPS techniques were used (Casamichana & Castellano, 2010; Castellano, Casamichana, & Dellal, 2013) or by video analysis (Jones & Drust, 2007).
Reilly (2007) the usefulness of the available data to the end user is dependent on the application of correct measurement methods, which is why the reliability of measurement by the new technique has to be verified. According to Little and Williams (2006) when using the SSG, there is a risk that the variability of intensity between players and on repetition of SSG may lead to some players training at inappropriate exercise intensities. The purpose of this study is to determine intra-individual reproducibility, inter-individual variability in exercise loading measurement (expressed by average heart rate and distance covered) in chosen 4-a-side football and futsal game at indoor environment.

Methods

Participants
Twenty five highly trained junior football players (age = 17.68 ± 1.52 years; BMI = 21.69 ± 1.19 kg · m−2; peak heart rate = 197.88 ± 5.48 beats · min−1) participated in the study. They trained five times per week (four technical-tactical practices and one conditioning practice). All procedures were conducted during the pre-competitive part of season (December 2013 and January 2014). The aims and objectives of the present study have been clarified to all participants and all of them gave a voluntary written informed consent. Participation was voluntary and players could withdraw from the study at any time.

Procedures
All participants completed peak heart rate (HRpeak) measurement by Léger beep. The measurement was performed during training following one day off (Tuesday) and one week before the first measured training with 4-a-side game. To find out HRpeak heart rate (HR) measures during Léger beep test were performed using the TEAM Polar2Pro system (Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland).

4-a-side football and futsal game
The observed participants used blue T-shirts with large orange numbers (corresponding with official football rules) to permit clear identification. The 4-a-side football and futsal game (SSG) was played on a 40 × 20 m court 4 on 4 players with goalkeepers. The SSG was played three times per four minutes with four minutes recovery interval. Given to time of measurement the indoor environment in the gym with wooden floor was chosen as an alternative to outdoor environment in case of bad weather.

The footage of SSG was recorded with two Canon HF10 video cameras (each with a resolution of 1280 × 720 pixels). Each camera was used to record a separate half of the court. One camera was placed perpendicular to the side line (620 cm above the surface, 840 cm from the side line) in the middle of the first recorded half of the basketball court. The second camera was placed in an analogous manner to record the second half of the court. To obtain distance covered (an indicator of external load) footages of SSG were analysed by a standardised procedure (Hůlka, Cuberek, & Svoboda, 2014) using a software package Video Manual Motion Tracker 1.0 (Palacký University Olomouc, Czech Republic). The heart rate was monitored during all measurements by TEAM Polar2Pro system (Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland) and results were expressed as a percentage of HRpeak of each participant.

Statistical analyses
Software SPSS (Version 17; SPSS, Chicago, IL) was used to process the data. To detect a repeated measurement differences, one-way ANOVA was used on three repeated assessments and was expressed by a parallel form of the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The average intraclass correlation coefficient was calculated using the formula: ICC = (MSS – MSE) · M−1, where MSS is the mean square of the subjects, MSE is the mean square of the error, and MS is the mean square), which is an indicator of intra-individual reliability (reproducibility). The typical error of measurement (TEM) was calculated according to Hopkins (2000) and expressed as a percentage of the mean (%TEM) to indicate intra-individual reproducibility. Bland and Altman’s 95% limits of agreement for repeated measurements were used to express the absolute reliability in the original measurement units (Atkinson & Nevill, 1998). To determine inter-individual variability coefficient of variation (CV) was used (Atkinson & Nevill, 1998). The statistical significances of all parts of the analysis were determined at an alpha level of p < .05.

Results
The average heart rate was 161.80 ± 10.60 beats · min−1 (from 136.29 to 189.48 beats · min−1) during the first measurement, 157.50 ± 11.30 beats · min−1 (from 137.71 to 183.81 beats · min−1) during the second measurement and 158.20 ± 8.60 beats · min−1 (from 132.29 to 181.25 beats · min−1) during the third measurement. These values correspond to 81.79 ± 3.29%, 79.69 ± 2.73%, and 80.20 ± 3.01% of HRpeak. The average distance covered was 558.27 ± 43.61 m (from 512.29 to 602.13 m) during the first measurement, 543.83 ± 36.83 m (from 503.46 to 598.91 m) during the second measurement.
and 539.43 ± 42.86 m (from 492.29 to 591.73 m) during the third measurement.

According to the one-way ANOVA findings (Table 1), within a five percent significance, there were no statistically significant differences (HR: $F = 0.31$, $p = .73$; DC: $F = 0.21$, $p = .69$) between the results obtained from the first, second and third measurements, thus we excluded possible differences of repeated measurements for both variables. The high intraclass correlation coefficient value (HR: $ICC = .88$, 95% CI [.65, .94]; DC: $ICC = 0.76$, 95% CI [.66, .96]) implies high level of relative intra-individual repeatability. The $TEM$ values showed absolute values of repeatability for HR: 3.73 beats·min$^{-1}$ and distance covered 31.11 m. These values represent only 5.58% ($%TEM$) of the average distance covered and 2.35% ($%TEM$) of average heart rate. Bland and Altman's 95% limits of agreement were ±10.32 beats·min$^{-1}$ and ± 65.46 m of distance covered error interval of intra-individual reproducibility. The CV analysis showed high level of inter-individual stability of heart rate measurement (2.13%) and distance covered (5.16%) during monitored SSG.

**Discussion**

Reliability is a theoretical concept that is used to describe the quality of a measurement instrument. Researchers require evidence of reliability for precise control of exercise intensity and will allow the application of chosen training parameters to produce intended physiological and performance adaptations (Little & Williams, 2006). The purpose of this work is to determine intra-individual repeatability and inter-individual variability in exercise loading expressed by average heart rate by TEAM Polar2Pro system and distance covered by Video Manual Motion Tracker 1.0 in a chosen 4-a-side football and futsal game in an indoor environment.

The results showed that average heart rate and distance covered by players during SSG was not weighted by systematic bias. The absence of significant differences among three repeated measurements showed that measured 4-a-side game posed adequately low tactical pretentions to players. We think that during tactically too loaded SSG the learning effect could manifest by decreasing of physiological demands (Gabbett, Carius, & Mulvey, 2008). With increasing experience with SSG can players solve game situations more economically and it could decrease the work load.

The results of intra-individual reproducibility, expressed by $ICC$ and $%TEM$, showed high reliability of both measured variables, which is very important quality of monitored SSG for practical application. These findings are very similar to results of other studies. Little and Williams (2006) measured heart rate of players in SSG with different number of players and found out high reproducibility with typical error of measurement from 2.1 to 3.8%. Hill-Haas, Coutts, Rowsell, and Dawson (2008) showed typical error of heart rate measurement expressed in percentage from 1.9% to 4.4%, and from 2.2% to 6.4% for distance covered.

The $CV$ analysis showed high level of inter-individual stability of heart rate measurement (2.13%) and distance covered (5.16%) during monitored SSG. Similar low inter-individual variability of internal response expressed by $CV$ showed Little and Williams (2006) moved from 1.4 to 2.8%. Nevill and Atkinson (1997) the $CV$ values should be ranged 1.4 to 2.5%, our results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of analysis / indicator</th>
<th>Level of indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detection of systematic bias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>$F = 0.314, p = .73$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F = 0.21, p = .69$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-individual reproducibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-class correlation coefficient</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% limits of agreement</td>
<td>± 10.32 beats·min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TEM$</td>
<td>± 65.46 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$%TEM$</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-individual variability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TEM$</td>
<td>5.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. HR = heart rate monitoring, DC = time-motion analysis, $TEM$ = typical error of measurement, $%TEM$ = typical error of measurement expressed in percentage.
fulfil this criterion. We did not find any study for CV of distance covered.

In sports games it is very important to ensure receiving the same training load for all players to prevent undertraining or overtraining (Little & Williams, 2006). To check the intra-individual reproducibility and inter-individual variability is crucial for practical application of SSG because using SSG with good inter-individual variability supports unified training load for all players and will allow for the provision of a progressive training load over time (Klusemann, Pyne, Foster, & Drinkwater, 2012). Moreover it facilitates the planning of the training process and more training time could be spent as team training including SSG with simultaneous specific endurance, technical, and tactical development. According to Gabbett, Jenkins, and Abernethy (2010) SSG with good reproducibility and variability allow greater transfer of physiological adaptations, because the players simultaneously develop technical and tactical skills under high physical load, and hence skill executions per player, and higher motivation of athletes (Klusemann et al., 2012).

Similarly, Hill-Haas et al. (2008) larger reproducibility and variability of external load than internal response lead to recommendations for coaches to prefer internal response to evaluate the work load during SSG.

We consider the indoor measurement without comparison with the results of the same outdoor measurement (by different techniques), in natural football environment as a limit of our study, which should be solved by next measurement. Simultaneously thanks to indoor measurement the measured internal response could be lower than outdoor on the grass because of the different surface (Andersson, Ekblom, & Krstrup, 2008; Hughes et al., 2013), temperature, and humidity.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study demonstrated that measured SSG elicit consistent heart rate responses and external load of the players and it enables repetitively optimized group training. We think this is very important attribute of every small-sided game which could be measured before its practical application. The size of the work load showed that SSG are a suitable indoor alternative to develop specific football aerobic endurance. We think that a comparison with outdoor games still needs to be measured.
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