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BACKGROUND: Recently, the popularity of Nordic walking (NW) has been rising steadily. Many scientific studies researched the promising and beneficial effects of this form of physical activity. However, only a few studies provided data about the forces acting on the poles. We did not find a commercially available system that enables the measurement of the poling forces.

OBJECTIVE: The objective of this paper was to develop and validate a system for measuring the poling forces during NW.

METHODS: Strain gauge force transducers were mounted below the grips of standard NW poles. The transducer signals were amplified and converted to digital form for transmitting to a personal computer. Special software was developed for processing the measured data and the calculation method of output variables was described. Validation of the system was performed using a Kistler force plate. Poling cycles with peak force of about 150 N were imitated by pressing the pole over a force plate.

RESULTS: A function sample of the measurement system was constructed. Validation yielded the mean absolute error of 1.1 N in case of poling cycles without pole impacts or 3.0 N in case of poling cycles with impacts.

CONCLUSIONS: The validation result of our system is comparable to the results of similar systems used for measurements during cross-country skiing. The system enables independent measurement of the poling forces on both poles and the duration of measurement can be up to one hour. The system provides a tool that can be used to answer a number of questions that researchers raise about NW. Understanding of the biomechanical and physiological aspects of poling action can constitute a scientific basis for promoting, teaching and training of NW.
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INTRODUCTION

Nordic walking (NW), grouped as an endurance physical activity, has been gaining popularity. NW, when performed regularly, provides an array of health benefits for the young, the elderly, or for persons with various health problems, especially those suffering from chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, obesity, and hypertension (Morgulec-Adamowicz, Marszalek, & Jagustyn, 2011; Tschentscher, Niederseer, & Niebauer, 2013). The increased metabolic and cardiovascular demands during NW compared with normal walking has been often explained by the additional recruitment of the upper body musculature for propulsion (Church, Earnest, & Morss, 2002; Schiffer et al., 2006).

For biomechanical or physiological studies, it is important to measure the poling forces produced by the upper body musculature. The measured poling force data enables the interpretation and comparison of results within one study as well as among various studies. To our knowledge, there are two studies concerning poling forces during NW (Jensen et al., 2011; Schiffer, Knicker, Dannöhl, & Strüder, 2009). There are also several studies concerning poling forces during cross-country skiing (Holmberg, Lindinger, Stöggl, Eitzmair, & Müller, 2005; Lindinger, & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger, Stöggl, Müller, & Holmberg, 2009; Millet, Hoffman, Candau, & Clifford, 1998; Nilsson, Timmark, Halvorsen, & Arndt, 2012; Pellegrini, Bottolani, & Schena, 2011; Stöggl, & Holmberg, 2011; Vähäsöyrinki et al., 2008). From the technical point of view, the measurement systems for NW are similar to the systems for cross-country skiing. All previously cited studies used various kinds of custom developed measurement systems. However, we did not find a commercially available system intended for such purpose.
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The objective of this paper was to develop and validate a system intended for measuring the poling forces during NW.

METHODS

Development of a system for measuring poling forces

The system consists of parts as follows – two pieces of a force transducer, a signal conditioning unit, a data acquisition device (AD converter) and a personal computer with a special software (Figure 1). The system enables measuring the poling forces in both poles (right and left) simultaneously.

Aluminium NW poles (BIRKI, Jeseník, Czech Republic) were modified so that force transducers can be mounted below the grips (Figure 2). Each pole was cut and an aluminium fitting with female thread was riveted into the pole. The fittings enabled changing the lower part of the pole of different lengths. Seven sets of poles with different lengths (range 105–135 cm, step 5 cm) were available for the subject to choose.

A custom-made single axial strain gauge force transducer (Uničovské strojírny, Uničov, Czech Republic) was composed of a circular steel deformation element of 45 mm in diameter and four wire strain gauges. The strain gauges were glued to the element and wired to compose the full bridge circuit. The sensitive axis of the transducer was aligned with the longitudinal axis of the pole. The measuring range of the transducer was ±1000 N. Such a large range was necessary because the transducer must be able to withstand impact shock. The mounting of the transducer added an additional mass of 140 g to the original pole weight.

Differential voltage signals from the transducers were amplified by means of a signal conditioning unit. Its base was composed of the instrumentation amplifier AD623 (Analog Devices, Norwood, MA, USA). The unit was built in a small plastic casing (10 × 8 × 4 cm) and had to be carried by subjects on a waist belt. This solution did not increase the weight of the poles and enabled using short wires between transducers and amplifier, aiding in reduced noise. Amplified signals were sampled by a data acquisition device USB-1608FS (Measurement Computing, Norton, MA, USA) and the data was transferred to a personal computer via USB interface. Data was recorded at a sampling fre-
quency of 1,000 Hz and at 16-bit resolution. Setting the zero level of the force transducers was performed in the field before each measurement. The poles were erected vertically without touching the transducers or the grips and the actual measured voltage was used as a reference for force of 0 N.

A special menu driven software was developed using the MATLAB computing language (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The programme makes possible the online display of the force-time curves and the storing of the measured data in the internal database. The offline calculation of variables (see below) and export in comma-separated-values file format are also possible.

**Figure 2** Detailed view of strain gauge force transducer mounted below the grip of NW pole. 1 - grip, 2 - strain gauge force transducer, 3 - lower part of the pole (seven different lengths were available)

**Calibration and validation of the system**

The force transducers were calibrated using a custom-made calibration apparatus with eight different weights (range 5–40 kg, step 5 kg). A regression line for conversion of the measured voltage to units of force (N) was obtained for each transducer.

The force transducers mounted inside the poles were validated (Holmberg et al., 2005). The pole grip was held in a hand and at least 30 poling cycles were imitated on a force plate, type 9286AA (Kistler Instrumente AG, Winterthur, Switzerland). The plate lay on the floor and pole tip was placed on the plate. The imitated poling action was not exactly the same as real poling action during NW because the subject did not move forward. The signals from the force transducer and the force plate were recorded simultaneously at a sampling frequency of 1,000 Hz. The difference between force transducer output $x_i$ and total force plate output $x_p$ was expressed as the absolute error $E_{\text{Mean}}$ and maximum absolute error $E_{\text{Max}}$ according to the formulas:

$$E_{\text{Mean}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_p - x_i|$$

$$E_{\text{Max}} = \max_{i=1, \ldots, n} (|x_p - x_i|)$$

where $n$ is the total number of samples in one record and was of about 30,000. Calculation was performed using the Statistics Toolbox of MATLAB 7.6 (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA).

**Calculation of variables derived from force-time curve**

The data obtained from the force transducers consisted of right pole force ($F_R$) and of left pole force ($F_L$) sampled at 1,000 Hz frequency. The data was first smoothed by a digital finite-impulse-response lowpass filter with a passband of 0–100 Hz and stopband of 200–500 Hz. The filtration cut the high frequency signal component that carried no useful information and consequently the signal to noise ratio increased.

Data was processed separately for right and left pole. The series of beginnings of pole ground contact and the series of ends of pole ground contact were identified on the force-time curve (Figure 3). The beginning of pole ground contact $t_b$ was determined as the first positive force sample after a non-positive sample, expressed mathematically as $F(t_b - 0.001 s) \leq 0 \land F(t_b) > 0$.

The end of pole ground contact $t_e$ was determined as the last positive force sample followed by a non-positive sample, expressed mathematically as $F(t_e) > 0 \land F(t_e + 0.001 s) \leq 0$. One poling cycle was defined as the phase from contact beginning to the subsequent contact beginning and was split into a poling phase and a recovery phase. The poling phase is the phase between contact beginning and contact end and the measured force is positive ($F > 0$) there. The recovery phase is the phase between contact beginning and contact end and the measured force is positive ($F > 0$) there. The recovery phase is the rest of the poling cycle.

From our experience, measured data may have contained poling phases that were too short (duration $\leq 0.1$ s) or too weak (peak force $\leq 5$ N). Such poling phases were considered as a jerk (short duration) or weak poling action (low peak force) and they were not considered as a part of NW with proper poling technique. Therefore, only poling phases which met the criteria (duration $> 0.1$ s and peak force $> 5$ N) were...
used for subsequent analysis. The subjects were instructed to use alternate diagonal walking, i.e., with an antiphase movement of the upper limbs relative to the lower limbs. In such walking, the right poling phase was followed by the left poling phase and vice versa. The pairs of subsequent poling phases (right and left) were exported to a file as the output of the measurement system. When only one pole was used, e.g., the subject was drying sweat by the other hand, such poling phases were excluded. Consequently, the number of right poling phases equaled to the number of left poling phases, which was required by users of the measurement system.

Variables were calculated from force-time curve as follows (Figure 3):

1. **Cycle time** (CT) is duration of the poling cycle between two consecutive beginnings of pole ground contact. \( CT = t'_{sb} - t_{sb} \), where \( t'_{sb} \) is subsequent beginning after \( t_{sb} \). Unit is second [s] (Holmberg et al., 2005; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011; Vähäsöyrinki et al., 2008).

2. **Poling time** (PT) is duration of the poling phase between beginning and end of pole ground contact. \( PT = t_{sb} - t_{te} \); unit is second [s] (Holmberg et al., 2005; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011; Schiffer et al., 2009; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011; Vähäsöyrinki et al., 2008).

3. **Relative poling time** (RPT) is relative duration of the poling phase with respect to the duration of poling cycle. \( RPT = 100 \times \frac{PT}{CT} \); unit is percentage [%] (Holmberg et al., 2005; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011).

4. **Recovery time** (RT) is duration of the recovery phase. \( RT = CT - PT \); unit is second [s] (Holmberg et al., 2005; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011).

5. **Cycle frequency** (CF) is the number of cycles per time unit. \( CF = \frac{1}{CT} \); unit is hertz [Hz], or after multiplying the CF by value of 60, unit is the reciprocal minute [min.\(^{-1}\)]. CF is equivalent to stride frequency of the lower limbs (Holmberg et al., 2005; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012).

6. **Poling frequency** (PF) is the number of pole ground contacts per time unit. There are two ground contacts for both poles (right and left) per cycle during the alternate diagonal walking. \( PF = 2 \times CF \); unit is hertz [Hz] or reciprocal minute [min.\(^{-1}\)]. PF is equivalent to step frequency of the lower limbs.

7. **Peak poling force** (PPF) is peak value of force achieved during one poling phase. \( PPF = \max[F(t)], t_{sb} \leq t \leq t_{te} \); unit is newton [N] (Holmberg et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2011; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Schiffer et al., 2009; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011).

8. **Impulse of poling force** (IPF) is integration of the force-time curve during the poling phase. \( IPF = \int_{t_{sb}}^{t_{te}} F(t) \, dt \); unit is newton second [N · s] (Holmberg et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2011; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Millet et al., 1998; Schiffer et al., 2009; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011).

9. **Average poling force** (APF) is poling force averaged over the poling phase. Hence a product of APF and PT yields the same value as IPF of the actual force-time curve. \( APF = \frac{IPF}{PT} \); unit is newton [N] (Millet et al., 1998; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011).

10. **Average cycle poling force** (ACPF) is poling force averaged over the entire poling cycle. Hence a product of ACPF and CT yields the same value as IPF of the actual force-time curve. \( ACPF = \frac{IPF}{CT} \); unit is newton [N] (Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011;
Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011; Vähäsöyrinki et al., 2008).

All variables were calculated and exported separately for right and left pole and for each poling cycle. This was useful for assessing possible asymmetry of the poling action. When asymmetry analysis is not an issue, the average value of both poles was taken, e.g., $\text{IPF} = (\text{IPF}_R + \text{IPF}_L) / 2$.

RESULTS

Four pieces of force transducer (two sets of poles) were calibrated and validated, and the results were similar. Calibration yielded linearity below 0.6% in the range 0–400 N. For validation, the poling cycles with peak poling force of about 150 N were imitated by alternate pressing of the pole. In the first case, the tip of the pole was continuously in contact with the force plate and no pole impact was occurred (Figure 4a). The mean absolute error was 1.1 N and the maximum absolute error was 6.1 N. In the second case, the poling cycle contained the pole planting and pole take-off, thus an impact occurred (Figure 4b). The mean absolute error was 3.0 N and the maximum absolute error was 162.7 N.

DISCUSSION

NW is a peculiar type of locomotion in that both the lower and upper limbs are used for propulsion. In a biomechanical or physiological study, it is important to measure the forces generated by the lower and upper limbs. Poling forces can be measured by means of force plates or by means of force transducers mounted inside the poles.

Vähäsöyrinki et al. (2008) used a special 20 m long measurement system consisting of four tracks of parallel series of force plates. This system was used for measurement of ski and poling forces during cross-country skiing and the great advantage was that it provided force components in vertical and anterior-posterior directions. The disadvantages of this system were the small number of acquired cycles and the difficult changing of the track slope.

A measurement system based on single axial force transducers inside the poles is lightweight and can be used in the laboratory on a treadmill or in fieldwork on an outdoor surface. First limitation is that single axial force transducer provides only the value of poling force along the longitudinal axis of the pole. Value of force perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the pole is not available. Another limitation is that longitudinal force components cannot be determined using the system alone because the orientation of the longitudinal force along the line of travel is unknown. This problem can be overcome by tracking the inclinations of the poles using a three-dimensional videography (Svoboda, Stejskal, Jakubec, & Krejčí, 2011) and then the longitudinal forces can be resolved into their orthogonal components (medial-lateral, anterior-posterior, normal). Then, by considering the slope and the velocity of progression, it is possible to calculate the mean power of poling action (Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011).

As a force transducer mounted inside the pole, a piezoelectric transducer (Millet et al., 1998; Nilsson et al., 2012) or a strain gauge transducer (Holmberg et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2011; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009; Pellegrini, Bortolan, & Schena, 2011; Schiffer et al., 2009; Stöggl & Holmberg, 2011) were used. The piezoelectric transducer has better dynamic response but, on the other hand, it suffers from

Figure 4  Results of the system validation by means of the Kistler force plate. A – imitated poling cycles without pole impacts, B – imitated poling cycles with impact caused by pole plant
drift. Zero level drift can reach the value of ± 0.01 N/s (Kuratle & Signer, 2006). It is possible to use the piezoelectric transducer for short-term measurement (several poling cycles). We considered a 10 minute measurement that was necessary for comparing force variables with other physiological variables, e.g., oxygen uptake. Within this duration, the zero level could have drifted by 600 s · 0.01 N/s = 6 N. Such drift was unacceptable because it voided the detection of poling and recovery phases based on the level crossing technique. We chose a strain gauge transducer instead.

The poles with force transducers are heavier than standard poles, so the question of systematic error arises. Schiffer, Knicker, Montanarella, and Strüder (2011) compared NW with standard poles and a NW with poles loaded by weights of 0.5 kg. There was no statistically significant difference in the oxygen uptake or any EMG variable. Foissac, Berthollet, Seux, Belli, and Millet (2008) compared walking with hiking poles of different weights (240, 300, and 360 g). There was no statistically significant difference in the oxygen uptake as well, but there were differences in some EMG variables. It seems that poles with force transducers do not cause considerable systematic error in the biomechanical or physiological variables. However, the weight of the force transducer should be as low as possible.

We validated our system in similar manner as published elsewhere (Holmberg et al., 2005; Lindinger & Holmberg, 2011; Lindinger et al., 2009). Values of mean absolute error (3.8%, 2.9%, and 3.8%, respectively) revealed in those studies are comparable with our validation values. However, it is not clear that the published values were calculated from poling cycles with or without pole impacts. Despite the mean absolute error seemingly acceptable, the instantaneous difference between the pole transducer and force plate outputs can be significant. Force difference was caused by inertia and vibrating of the pole because the force transducer provided signal from a place below the pole grip and the force plate provided signal at the pole tip. The PPF variable can be influenced seriously but IPF, APF and ACPF variables incorporate summing of the force data, meaning that the rather large instantaneous difference has no such bad consequence.

Four force variables were defined in this paper. PPF describes only one point of the force-time curve during the poling phase, other points are not taken into account. So, PPF may not describe the effort of upper limbs but it could be used as a variable of shock loading of the upper limbs. Hagen, Hennig, and Stieldorf (2011) used accelerometry and showed that wrists are exposed to considerable shocks during NW. An increased injury risk to the upper limbs should be considered.

APF and ACPF incorporate the IPF in the definition formula. IPF itself as well as APF and ACPF use summing (integrating) of the points of force-time curve during the poling phase. Those variables consider all points in a specific manner and consequently they may describe the effort of the upper limbs better than PPF. The difference is that IPF uses no normalization of the sum result. APF normalizes the sum result by factor of PT and ACPF normalizes the sum result by factor of CT. In Figure 5 there are examples of poling actions demonstrating the difference between the variables. We can say that in case A, the effort of upper limbs is the lowest. In cases B and C, the efforts are the same and moderate. The effort is increased by increase of PT in case B or by decrease of CT (increase of CF) in case C. In case D, the effort is the highest and it is increased twice by an increase in PT and decrease of CT. IPF is proportional to PT but does not consider RT and consequently, it does not depend on CT proportionally. If IPF is calculated from the force component along the line of travel and then the value of IPF is multiplied by the velocity of progression, the result is physically the mechanical work generated by poling action within one cycle. Thus, IPF can be used as a variable of "effort" of the upper limbs performed within one cycle. APF removes intrinsic dependence of IPF on PT by using PT as a denominator in the definition formula. APF is invariant to time and does not depend on either PT or CT. If APF changes, it must be due to a change in the force points and it means that PPF and/or the shape of force-time curve changed. The ratio of APF/PPF can be used as a first step to characterize the shape of the force-time curve. ACPF depends on both PT via intrinsic dependence of IPF on PT and CT via the denominator in the definition formula. In fact, ACPF is proportional to RPT. If ACPF is calculated from the force component along the line of travel and then the value of ACPF is multiplied by the velocity of progression, the result is physically the mean mechanical power generated by the poling action. So, ACPF can be used as a variable of the mean "effort" of the upper limbs. The values of ACPF showed in Figure 5 reflect the above-mentioned level of effort.

CONCLUSIONS

The result of this paper is a function sample of a system for measuring poling forces during NW. The system was validated using the Kistler force plate. With independent measurement of the forces acting on both poles, the system can be used to assess possible asymmetry in the poling action. With measurement duration of up to one hour, the system can be used to analyze muscle fatigue. Future work to upgrade the system should include eliminating the cables by using telem-
etry or data logger. Such modifications to the system enable the study of outdoor NW in field conditions.

We agree that it is important to measure the poling forces when the effects of NW on the biomechanical and physiological variables are studied. Understanding of the biomechanical and physiological aspects of the poling action can constitute a scientific basis for promoting, teaching and training of NW.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by a research grant of the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic, No. MSM 6198959221, entitled “Physical Activity and Inactivity of the Inhabitants of the Czech Republic in the Context of Behavioral Changes” and an internal grant of the Palacký University, No. FTK_2013:026.

REFERENCES


**VÝVOJ A VALIDACE SYSTÉMU PRO MĚŘENÍ OPOROVÝCH SIL U SEVERSKÉ CHŮZE**

(Souhrn anglického textu)

**ÚVOD:** Severská chůze má potenciál stát se pohybovou aktivitou vhodnou pro širokou věřejnost pro prevenci hromadných neinfekčních onemocnění. Rostoucí popularita severské chůze zvyšuje zájem o vědecké poznatky o této pohybové aktivitě. Pro biomechanické a fyziologické studie je důležité znát, mimo jiné, i časové průběhy oporových sil, které jsou produkovány svalstvem horní poloviny těla. Protože se nám nepodařilo najít komerčně nabízený měřič systém vhodný pro tento účel, přistoupili jsme k vývoji a realizaci vlastního systému.

**CÍL:** Cílem studie byl vývoj a validace systému, který umožňuje kontinuální měření oporových sil v holích v průběhu severské chůze. Dále byl cílem vývoj software, který umožňuje poloautomatické zpracování měřených dat a výpočet časových silových ukazatelů, jako je například doba opory o hůl a průměrná síla fáze opory o hůl.

**METODIK:** Porovnává síla v každé holi byla snímána pomocí jednoosého tenzometru umístěného pod ručištěm holi. Pro tento účel byly upraveny holky BIRKI z hliníkové slitiny. Výstupní signály tenzometrů byly zesíleny, převedeny pomocí AD převodníku a přenášeny do osobního počítače. Vzorkovací frekvence byla 1000 Hz. Součástí měřicího systému je speciálně navržený softwar, který umožňuje zobrazení časových průběhů sily, ukládání do databáze a výpočet biomechanických ukazatelů podle vzorců uvedených v této studii. Validace systému byla provedena s využitím piezoelektrické silové plošiny Kistler. Při validaci byly simulovány časové průběhy sily s maximální hodnotou sily kolem 150 N.

**VÝSLEDKY:** Výsledkem studie je funkční vzorek měřicího systému. Výsledky validace ukázaly, že průměrná absolutní chyba je 1,1 N v případě odrazových cyklů a 3.0 N v případě svalových cyklů bez rázů hole o podložku. V případě odrazových cyklů jsou produkovány max. 150 N. Výsledky validace ukázaly, že průměrná absolutní chyba je 1,1 N v případě odběru signálu z hliníkové slitiny. Výsledky ukázaly, že průměrná absolutní chyba je 1,1 N v případě odrazových cyklů, které jsou produkovány svalstvem horní poloviny těla. Protože se nám nepodařilo najít komerčně nabízený měřič systém vhodný pro tento účel, přistoupili jsme k vývoji a realizaci vlastního systému.

**ZÁVĚRY:** Výsledky validace našeho systému odpovídají výsledkům podobných systémů používaných pro běžecké lyžování. Měřicí systém představuje nástroj, který může být použit při řešení celé řady otázek, které vyvstávají při hodnocení biomechanických a fyziologických aspektů severské chůze.

**Klíčová slova:** tenzometr, validace, časový průběh síly, zpracování dat.