POWER ASSESSMENT OF LOWER LIMBS AND STRENGTH ASYMMETRY OF SOCCER GOALKEEPERS
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BACKGROUND: Effective execution of vertical jump depends on the explosive power of lower limbs and their symmetrical integration mainly. Assessment of lower extremity bilateral asymmetries in soccer players is important for both injury prevention and performance.

OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to identify and compare parameters of lower limb power in three different jump tests in elite soccer goalkeepers. The next aim was to describe and compare strength asymmetries of force exerted by lower limbs in the take-off phase in all tests.

METHOD: The research group consisted of 25 elite soccer goalkeepers (age 26.5 ± 9.1 years, height 186.1 ± 7.8 cm, weight 86.7 ± 14.8 kg). Three types of a vertical jump – countermovement jump with arms included (CMJfa), countermovement jump with arms excluded (CMJ) and squat jump (SJ) were performed on two force platforms. Following parameters were assessed – maximum force during the take-off phase Fmax (N) and their relative value Frel (N · kg–1), jump height h (m) and force asymmetry between limbs (∆Fmax). RM ANOVA was used in statistical analysis.

RESULTS: The type of jump had a significant effect on jump height (F2, 48 = 109.66, p < .01, η² = .82). The highest jump was reached in CMJfa. This result was higher by 11.1% (5.01 cm) in comparison to CMJ and by 19.9% (8.98 cm) than in SJ. Type of jump significantly influenced Fmax (F1.6, 38.7 = 44.29, p < .01, η² = .65) and Frel (F2, 48 = 50.33, p < .01, η² = .68). Force asymmetry between limbs (∆Fmax) was significantly different with respect to the type of jump performed (F1.3, 31.7 = 5.14, p < .05, η² = .18). The highest force asymmetry was found in CMJfa test (∆Fmax = 8.61%), while the difference in CMJ test was (7.06%) and in SJ test (∆Fmax = 3.95%). We found a significantly greater difference in ∆Fmax between CMJfa vs. SJ (p < .05) and CMJ vs. SJ (p < .01).

CONCLUSION: The best results of jump height were achieved, as expected in the CMJfa but in this test also the greatest force asymmetry was detected. Monitoring of power level and strength asymmetries at the beginning of the preparatory period enables identification of possible strength imbalances in elite soccer goalkeepers, which should be further reduced during the preparatory period. Screening examination of strength asymmetry may be thus a useful tool for both performance and injury prevention in goalkeepers.
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INTRODUCTION

A vertical jump is one of essential motor skills in many sports and its monitoring and description is based on both external movement structure and explosive strength of lower limbs as a basic driving impulse for its performance. The movement of the whole body during the take-off is very important aspect for a successful jump together with the high explosive strength of lower limbs. For movement evaluation from the viewpoint of kinematics it is necessary to set critical phases of the body’s movement (Janura, Vaverka, & Elfmark, 1996). When performing the jump with arm swing, higher values of the vertical height are reached, which is the result of the upper limbs’ work during the take-off, as well as braking and acceleration impulses conducted during the lowering phase and subsequent take-off (Bobbert, Gerritsen, Litjens, & Van Soest, 1996). In terms of vertical position of the centre of mass, the jump can be divided and described by means of its individual phases. A countermovement jump with arm swing (CMJfa) starts from an upright standing position with a preliminary downward movement by flexing at the lower limbs along with a slight forward flexion of the torso, the centre of mass moves down until it is in its lowest position. The countermovement ends in this stage. This phase is also called a braking phase. Since this moment, an acceleration phase begins, lower limbs extend and per-
form the movement in the vertical direction and the whole phase is completed by the last contact of feet with the ground. The following flying phase ends with the first contact of tips with the ground. Subsequently, lower limbs absorbs landing by tips and knee flexion.

Proper and effective jump performance in soccer players, especially goalkeepers, is an essential component of soccer skills. Ioannis (2013) publish significant differences in power (squat jump, drop jump) with respect to performance level in Greek soccer players (higher division, middle division, lower division). Haughen, Tonnessen, and Seiler (2013) report significantly lower performance in countermovement jump (CMJ) test in midfielders by 5–6% compared to goalkeepers, forwards and defenders. Similarly, Boone, Vaeyens, Steyaert, Bossche, and Bourgois (2012) present significantly lower jump height in CMJ test in midfielders and full backs in comparison to goalkeepers, midfielders and forwards.

Positive effects of physical activity are well known and described in scientific literature. However, its excessive level may negatively reflect in some aspects of physical fitness in terms of various forms of maladaptation effects, strength or morphological imbalances. If these problems of the movement apparatus are not compensated starting in the youth population it can have a negative impact on further development. Assessment of lower extremity bilateral asymmetries in soccer players is important for both injury prevention (Fowler & Reilly, 1993; Lehance, Binet, Bury, & Croisier, 2009; Menzel, Chagas, Szmuchrowski, Araujo, Andrade, & Jesus-Moraleida, 2013) and performance (Fousekis, Tsipis, & Vagenas, 2010; Malý, Zahálka, & Malá, 2011). The bilateral limb deficit describes the difference in maximal or near maximal force generating capacity of muscles when they are contracted alone or in combination with the contralateral muscles (Kuruganti & Seaman, 2006). Although asymmetrical strength has been linked to a variety of pathological conditions or risk of injuries in field soccer players (Dauty & Potiron-Josse, 2004; Rahnama, Lees, & Bambaecicchi, 2005; Rahnama, Reilly, Lees, & Graham-Smith, 2003; Tourny-Chollet, Leroy, Leger, & Beuret-Blanquart, 2000), no research results are available in terms of identification of strength imbalances during power assessment in elite soccer goalkeepers, who often prefer one leg to kick (kicking leg) and another to take-off (jumping leg). Lower limb bilateral strength asymmetries in soccer are the most frequently described manifestation of goalkeeper’s movement (jump), with the principle of high acceleration, jump testing can be considered more adequate than isokinetic strength where the movement speed is constant. To our best knowledge, no scientific literature evaluating power assessment and its asymmetry in larger group (> 20) of elite soccer goalkeepers (SG) was found.

AIM

The aim of this study was to identify and compare parameters of lower limb power in three different jump tests in elite SGs. The next aim was to describe and compare strength asymmetries of force exerted by lower limbs in the take-off phase in all tests.

METHODS

Research group

The research group consisted of 25 elite players, soccer goalkeepers (n = 25, age 26.5 ± 9.1 years, body height 186.1 ± 7.8 cm, body weight 86.7 ± 14.8 kg). All SGs were players of the highest Czech soccer league (Gambrinus League). The players were tested at the beginning of the winter preparatory period (January) in 2009–2013. The research was approved by the Ethical committee of Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Charles University in Prague. Measurement were carried out in accordance with ethical standards of Declaration of Helsinki and ethical standards in sport and exercise science research (Harriss & Atkinson, 2011).

Power and strength asymmetry assessment

For recording force of lower limbs on the ground, two side-by-side mounted force platforms Kistler B8611A, 400 Hz (KISTLER Instrumente AG, Switzerland) were used. For data processing, BioWare 4.0.0 and Matlab R2013 software were used. For height of the jump, the calculation from force impulse was used. This procedure is based on force measurement and sequential calculation of velocity of Centre of Gravity. Height of the jump from take-off velocity was calculated by the equation: \( h = \frac{v_{\text{take-off}}^2}{2 \cdot g} \). All participants performed three types of a vertical jump - countermovement jump with arms included (CMJ\text{a}), countermovement jump with arms excluded (CMJ) and squat jump (SJ). Each participant made three successful trials of each type of jump with a recovery interval of 60 seconds after each trial. For subsequent evaluation, only the best trial was chosen. Each participant was in an upright standing position on two force platforms to determine the force under each lower limb separately.
The measured parameters were the maximal force during the take-off phase $F_{\text{max}}$ (N) and jump height $h$ (m). Jump height was calculated from take-off speed. The maximum force $F_{\text{max}}$ (N) during the take-off phase was assessed for each lower limb. Due to specific playing position, to assess muscular strength asymmetry we determined in each goalkeeper “kicking leg - KL” and “jumping leg - JL”. This criterion was determined for the first time on an answer on the question which leg the goalkeeper uses for a goal kick. Force $F_{\text{maxKL}}$ (N) or $F_{\text{maxJL}}$ (N) for lower limb separately was determined and subsequently it was converted to kilograms of players’ body weight $F_{\text{rel}}$ (N·kg$^{-1}$). Level of asymmetry between lower limbs was calculated as a ratio between separate relative forces in percentages (%) as follows:

$$\Delta F_{\text{max}} = \frac{\text{JL score} - \text{KL score}}{\text{JL score}} \times 100\%$$

**Statistical analysis**

Significant differences in the monitored parameters depending on the performed movement (type of the jump) were evaluated using repeated measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA $1 \times 3$) which compares the variance of within-groups effects. Determination of parameter significance between the individual jumps was then conducted using the multiple comparisons of means (Bonferroni’s post-hoc test).

When the criterion of sphericity as one of the conditions of ANOVA, which was assessed using Mauchly’s test ($\chi^2$), was not met, degrees of freedom were adjusted by means of Greenhouse-Geisser’s (GG) sphericity correction and then the statistical significance was assessed according to particular degrees of freedom. Rejection of the null hypothesis was assessed at the level of $p < .05$. Effect size was assessed using the “Eta square” coefficient ($\eta^2$) which explains the proportion of variance of the monitored factor.

**RESULTS**

During the evaluation of lower limb strength we found out that elite players formed a very homogenous group. In assessment of absolute jump height achieved, vertical jumps with arm swing are the most divergent from inter-individual perspective. Inclusion of upper limbs in this type of jump was the most various among the players, even if comparable results in vertical jump were achieved.

The type of jump had a significant effect on jump height ($F_{2,48} = 109.66, p < .01, \eta^2 = .82$). On the basis of measured and calculated data from three types of jumps, we may notice that the highest jump was achieved in the first type CMJ$\text{FA}$ (Table 1). This result was higher by 11.1% (5.01 cm) in comparison to the countermovement jump without arm swing (CMJ) and by 19.9% (8.98 cm) than in the squat jump (SJ). Multiple comparisons of means showed a significant difference between different types of jumps ($p < .05$) (Table 1).

An independent variable (type of jump) also significantly influence maximum force ($F_{\text{rel}}$) ($F_{1.6, 38.7} = 44.29, p < .01, \eta^2 = .65$) as well as relative force ($F_{\text{rel}}^2$) ($F_{2,48} = 50.33, p < .01, \eta^2 = .68$) exerted in the take-off phase. Bonferroni’s post-hoc tests revealed insignificant difference between CMJ$\text{FA}$ and CMJ tests ($p > .05$) and significant differences in comparisons of CMJ$\text{FA}$ and CMJ tests with SJ test ($p < .01$). Force exerted by lower limbs expressed in percentage ($\Delta F_{\text{max}}$) was significantly different with respect to the type of executed jump ($F_{2,48} = 5.14, p < .05, \eta^2 = .18$). The highest strength asymmetry was found in CMJ$\text{FA}$ test ($\Delta F_{\text{max}} = 8.61\%$). When arms were excluded (CMJ) the asymmetric difference was lower (7.06%). The lowest difference of strength asymmetry was measured in SJ test ($\Delta F_{\text{max}} = 3.95\%$). Bonferroni’s post-hoc tests showed significantly greater difference in asymmetry between CMJ$\text{FA}$ vs. SJ ($p < .05$) and CMJ vs. SJ ($p < .01$).

Figure 1 shows the force curve during the CMJ$\text{FA}$ jump. At the beginning, the force value corresponds with participant’s body weight. The take-off starts with a downward movement of the body (a) when active force is reduced (ideally to zero – (b)). The braking phase is from the moment when the force reaches back the value of the body weight (c) up to a maximum force. This is followed by a take-off impulse that ends with a zero value of the applied force and the participant leaves the ground (e). The landing is accompanied by a strong force impact with respect to absorbing (f). The Figure 2 shows the force curves of asymmetric jump that the jumping leg during the body lowering began to move earlier than the kicking leg (point a); at the moment of maximal force, the right leg was involved by 20% more than the left one.

**DISCUSSION**

To assess a vertical jump, the absolute height achieved is primarily used. Mean values of the monitored group ranged as follows – CMJ$\text{FA}$ = 45.07 ± 3.22 cm, CMJ = 40.06 ± 3.48 cm and SJ = 36.09 ± 3.42 cm. In comparison to, for instance basketball players, they are lower; the study (Ziv & Lidor, 2010) presents the following values – CMJ$\text{FA}$ = 61.0 cm, CMJ = 43.9 cm and SJ = 39.8 cm. Our participants’ results in CMJ tests are comparable with the study by Haughen et al. (2013) who noted in goalkeepers ($N = 45$) CMJ = 39.8 ± 4.2 cm. The same authors present in the national Norwegian team ($N = 21$) CMJ = 39.4 ± 5.2 cm. The most remark-
Able difference is in a countermovement jump with arm swing (CMJFA), where we can suggest that our monitored group has more reserves in lower limbs work. Effective work of upper limbs is very important in all types of take-off and it is a base for a successful jump (Svoboda, Janura, Cabell, & Janurová, 2009). The proper arm swing during the take-off phase contributes to body propulsion; on the contrary, inappropriate position of upper limbs can cause the need for correction movements during the take-off or flight phases and thus eliminate the advantage gained. In basketball players, it is reasonable to expect high demands on upper limbs work during the maximal vertical jump, especially with regards to work with the ball in the area of a basket; increased concentration on development of upper limbs work in athletes is assumed from the viewpoint of complex focus on the entire body. The difference between the tested groups and our group is 26.1% compared to basketball players and 15.4% compared to athletes.

The height of jump and strength parameters (Fmax, Frel) were lower in SJ test than in jump height in CMJFA and CMJ. It is the result of the use of eccentric muscle work in CMJFA and CMJ tests. A muscle in eccentric contraction is able to produce greater power than in concentric contraction. Power output can be further increased in actions where eccentric contraction is immediately followed by concentric contraction of muscle groups where elastic characteristics of muscle are used (stretch-shortening cycle). When a muscle is stretched, specific mechanoreceptors located within the muscle (muscle spindle fibres) are also stretched and send feedback to central nervous system. This feedback causes an immediate signalling of the muscle fibres to contract to prevent potential tissue damage from overstretching (Kraemer & Looney, 2012). In synchronous activity with character of concentric contraction this “stretch reflex” may cause higher rate of force development of the movement.

Jump height in CMJFA was in comparison to CMJ test higher by 5.01 cm (11.1%). This fact can be confirmed by the study (Reiser, Rocheford, & Armstrong, 2006) in which authors suggest that effective inclusion of upper limbs may improve the jump height by 25%. In addition to absolute value of the jump, from our point of view, it is important to determine the influence of individual parameters affecting the jump height.

The total force developed on the ground Fmax in CMJFA varies for individual players on a larger scale; the minimum value F = 1,788 N and maximum value F = 2,533 N; however, this can be the result of different participants’ body weight because body weight is reflected into this parameter (the lower body weight was 75.7 kg and the highest body weight was 98.4 kg). Impellizzeri, Rampinini, Maffiuletti, and Marcora (2007) report in CMJ test in 451 athletes bilateral difference
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CMJFA</th>
<th>SJ</th>
<th>CMJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>h (cm)</td>
<td>43.18</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>41.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmax (N)</td>
<td>2,368</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frel (N)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆Fmax (%)</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CI = confidence interval, LB = lower bound, UB = upper bound, *significant difference between CMJFA and CMJ, ** p < 0.01.
in peak force between limbs 7.95% which is comparable with the difference we detected (7.06%). Menzel et al. (2013) present in 46 soccer players a lower value (5.58%). However, comparison of our results with the population of elite goalkeepers is not possible since we have not found any study presenting such research data.

In our study the force exerted in CMJxFA and CMJ was very similar; however, there is a significant difference between them and SJ. In the third type, only concentric work is used and therefore it has the lowest values in terms of absolute vertical jump values. The results indicate that for achieving absolute values of the jump, it is necessary to reduce the time between the braking and accelerating impulses. It could be improved by plyometric exercise, which can be an effective tool for the improvement of explosive power (Lehnert, Lamrová, & Elfmark, 2009). In comparison of strength manifestation in our group with other authors (Häkkinen, 1991), these values are higher by 15%; nevertheless, this is relative because it is necessary to relate this value to each participant’s body weight and with-

---

**Figure 1.** The force curve and phases during the CMJxFA jump

**Figure 2.** The force curves of asymmetric jump performance for jumping and kicking leg
out knowledge of participants’ body weight in other studies it is not possible to provide such a comparison. Many actions in soccer, including the jump, last for 250–300 ms and therefore a time aspect of force generation should be taken into account, hence the inclusion of force impulse and torque in the assessment of vertical jump is very important (Häkkinen, 1991; Kuangyou, 2008). From these results, it can be assumed that in achieving higher maximum vertical force component during the take-off, the transition phase from eccentric to concentric muscle action is more important than the use of arm swing at the take-off. Accumulated energy in the muscles of lower limbs can be used only for a limited time period; therefore it is essential that transition between eccentric and concentric phases is as short as possible. This knowledge should be taken into account in the training process so that appropriate type of exercise with the use of both principles of muscle work (concentric and eccentric) and their combination (eccentric-concentric) would be used.

Symmetry or asymmetry is very important for injury prevention, performance and postural stability (Vařeková, Vařeka, Janura, Svoboda, & Elfmárk, 2011). It is very difficult to find and set the most important moment for symmetrical evaluation between kicking leg and jumping leg. It is necessary take into consideration that the jump is a dynamic process and level of symmetry or asymmetry could be change during that process. That is why it will be necessary to analyse the whole force curve to determine the most important symmetry parameter on the take-off strength curve.

In our research, the highest asymmetry was found in CMJFA jump and the difference between the limbs was 8.61 ± 5.33%. The difference in CMJ test was lower (7.06 ± 5.55%) and the lowest asymmetry was detected in SJ (3.95 ± 3.48%). Significantly higher asymmetry in CMJFA and CMJ tests was probably caused by more demanding action in terms of coordination (movement and timing of upper limb swing, countermovement of lower limbs) and stronger adaptation mechanism of jumping leg in goalkeeper’s specific movements.

Fitness coaches and doctors suppose that greater muscle asymmetry between the limbs increases the risk of injury. More attention should be paid to individuals whose difference between the limbs is > 15% (Croisier et al., 2003; Malý, Zahálka, & Malá, 2010; Menzel et al., 2013). Occurrence of muscle asymmetry may be a result of insufficiently compensated physical activity, inadequate or incomplete rehabilitation programme, muscular strength imbalance between agonist and antagonist, long-term unilateral load (preferring) of one limb.

CONCLUSIONS

For measurement and assessment of explosive power of lower limbs, we selected a specific group of elite soccer players, goalkeepers, who are expected to cope better with this specific motor skill. The results showed that the observed groups were homogeneous in the measured parameters and that explosive strength in soccer goalkeepers at the top level is comparable. The best results of jump height were achieved, as expected, in the countermovement jump with arm swing (CMJFA). In CMJFA and CMJ tests, there was a significantly higher asymmetry in force exerted in the take-off phase than in SJ test. For more detail, observation of lower limbs separate participation during the vertical jump evaluation of the whole force curve is needed (calculation of braking or acceleration force impulse). Effective vertical jump requires good level of lower limb power and good movement pattern as motor skill. Both parts, power of lower limbs and power of core together with the movement could be trained and increased.

Monitoring of power level and strength asymmetries at the beginning of the preparatory phase enables identification of possible strength imbalances in elite SGs, which should be further reduced during the preparatory period. Screening examination of strength asymmetry may be thus a useful tool for both performance and injury prevention in SGs. Precise determination and quantification of strength asymmetries is essential for determination of the fundamental level of organized and intentionally controlled training process and for early compensation of the found imbalances. Despite the published results, there are still many unclear issues in this field which deserve further research. Comparison of strength asymmetries using different methods (jump test, isokinetic dynamometry, field tests (multiple hop test, change of direction on the preferred and no preferred leg), between different performance categories, gender, age, etc. is desirable in further research. It is surprising that despite a great number of scientific studies dealing with soccer, only a tiny part of research data is published about goalkeepers (most often as a secondary problem).
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**HODNOCENÍ SILOVÉHO PROJEVU DOLNÍCH KONČETIN A SILOVÉ ASYMETRIE U FOTBALOVÝCH BRANKÁŘŮ**

**(Souhrn anglického textu)**

**VÝCHODISKA:** Efektivní provedení vertikálního výskoku závisí na exploziivní síle dolních končetin a jejich symetrickém zapojení. Hodnocení bilaterality dolních končetin je u fotbalových hráčů důležité především z pohledu prevence před zraněním.

**ÚVOD:** Cílem práce bylo identifikovat a porovnat parametry síly dolních končetin při třech různých typech testů výskoku u brankářů elitní úrovně. Dalším cílem bylo popsat a porovnat silové asymetrie zapojení dolních končetin během odrazové fáze při všech typech výskoku.

**METODA:** Výzkumná skupina byla tvořena 25 fotbalovými brankáři elitní úrovně (věk 26,5± 9,1 roku, výška 186,1 ± 7,8 cm, hmotnost 86,7 ± 14,8 kg). Na dvou silových deskách byly realizovány tři typy vertikálního výskoku – výskok s pomocí horních končetin (countermovement jump with free arms – CMJ FA), výskok bez pomocí horních končetin (countermovement jump – CMJ) a výskok z podřepu (squat jump – SJ). Hodnocené parametry byly – maximální síla během odrazu F max (N) a její relativní hodnota F rel (N · kg−1), výška výskoku h (m) a silová asymetrie mezi dolními končetinami (∆F max).

**VÝSLEDKY:** Typ výskoku měl signifikantní efekt na výšku výskoku (F 2, 48 = 109,66, p < 0,01, η2 = 0,82). Nejvyšších výskoků bylo dosaženo při výskoku s pomocí horních končetin CMJ FA. Tento výsledek byl o 11,1 % (5,01 cm) vyšší ve srovnání s výskokem bez pomoci horních končetin CMJ a o 19,9 % vyšší než při výskoku z podřepu SJ. Typ výskoku signifikantně ovlivňuje maximální sílu F max (F 1,6, 38,7 = 44,29, p < 0,01, η2 = 0,65) a relativní sílu F rel (F 2, 48 = 50,33, p < 0,01, η2 = 0,68).

Silová asymetrie mezi dolními končetinami (∆F max) byla signifikantně rozdílná vzhledem k typu realizovaného výskoku (F 1,3, 31,7 = 5,14, p < 0,05, η2 = 0,18). Nejvyšší silová asymetrie byla zjištěna u výskoku s pomocí horních končetin CMJ FA (∆F max = 8,61 %), za tímco u výskoku bez pomoci horních končetin CMJ byla asymetrie (∆F max = 7,06 %) a výskoku z podřepu SJ (Δ F max = 3,95 %). Byl nalezen signifikantní rozdíl u parametru ∆ F max mezi výskoky s pomocí horních končetin CMJ FA a výsokými z podřepu SJ (p < 0,05) a u výskoků bez pomoci horních končetin CMJ a výskoku z podřepu SJ (p < 0,01).

**ZÁVĚRY:** Nejvyšších výskoků bylo podle očekávání dosaženo při výskoku s pomocí horních končetin CMJ FA, ale při tomto typu výskoku bylo dosaženo i největších silových asymetrií mezi končetinami. Sledování výkonostní úrovně a silových asymetrií na začátku přípravného období napomáhá identifikovat u elitních fotbalových brankářů silové dysbalance. Sledování provedu silových asymetrií by mělo být důležitou součástí pro hodnocení výkonu a prevence zranění u fotbalových brankářů.

**Klíčová slova:** vertikální výskok, brankář, fotbal, symetrie, dysbalance, prevence zranění.